

Collusion between NUJ General Secretary and a Labour Government Minister.

Distribution Proviso

The author and publisher has restricted access to this series of articles to National Union of Journalists (NUJ) and International Federation of Journalists (IFJ) members (also named parties) pending investigation of alleged criminal activity and legal action under: Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992; Solicitors' Code of Conduct 2007; Solicitors Act 1974; Administration of Justice Act 1985; Courts and Legal Services Act 1990; Fraud Act 2006; Employment Equality (Age) Regulations 2006; Vienna Convention on Consular Relations; and, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This proviso in no way restricts NUJ and IFJ members from releasing excerpts (with accreditation) to interested third parties including news media. Separate exposés cover unethical and illegal activities by London Freelance Branch officials in a consort with National Executive Council officers.

Independent investigation by law enforcement agencies involves an alleged pattern or practice of joint and several illegal or criminal activities by: National Union of Journalists (NUJ) executives, lawyers, and staff; National Executive Council (NEC) officers; and, London Freelance Branch (LFB) officials.

The author has little personal knowledge of other branches and chapels which do not form part of intended civil and criminal complaints; therefore, he has no opinion on their activities. Adverse comments, all verified and validated to insure accurate reporting, meet legal requirements.

Introduction

Jeremy Dear, General Secretary, National Union of journalists and Claire S Kirby then NUJ Legal Officer allowed the author to languish in jail for 111 days of an indeterminate sentence by adopting *laissez faire* policies. Judge James A Doerty, Washington Superior Court ruled that interviews by journalists with willing sources defined as harassment and that news gathering constituted illegal surveillance and stalking. He also ruled that freelance reporters do not qualify as journalists unless employed under a permanent contract with a recognized publication.

The author contested those premises to support his freedom of expression and to reverse a legal precedent that affected all journalists. Doerty arbitrarily sent him to jail indefinitely. When the author did not comply with a catch-22 which embraced illegal prior restraint, Doerty conspired with David C Broom, former HM Consul, Seattle to ratchet up coercion for removal of EU hosted web sites in Holland and Austria from the Internet.

Kirby did not act in accordance with law and international conventions which gave mafiosi a free hand to indulge in torture in a Seattle jail under the worst conditions in Washington state. Doerty would not hear supporting witnesses and instantly evicted the author from his home with the loss of all his possessions (which included a collection of stone lithographs and manuscripts for three books). That eviction also resulted in the death of his dog.

Dear held the position of general secretary elect with Kirby as his in-house solicitor then took over as general secretary (01 Feb 02). He spent four months transition with Kirby (who had held her position as legal officer for two years) toward resolution of legal issues that could have prevented the jailing of the author. Dear either knew and deliberately failed to act or should have known and did nothing to educate himself. Instead he initiated a cover-up. Damage would not have reached an advanced level and the author would not have gone to jail if Dear and Kirby had acted professionally seven years ago.

Doerty, with Broom's acquiescence, then transferred the author to incommunicado solitary confinement, locked down 23 hours a day among murderers and rapists, where he suffered torture and had several near-death experiences. Again, Kirby and Dear did nothing which allowed Doerty to deny a trial and legal counsel by repeatedly violating Vienna Convention and Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR).

Seattle mafia continues to retaliate for exposing it by threatening more jail, death, and using Internet denial-of-service attacks to destroy web sites, computer systems, and databases despite a unanimous Washington Supreme Court ruling (30 Mar 06) by nine judges which threw out all previous contentions and reversed all the unconstitutional trial and appellate court findings. Those court decisions exonerated the author of any wrongdoing.

Dear, with Kirby's replacement as in-house solicitor, Roy M Mincoff, has entered into an illegal composition with the author's member of parliament, Gareth R Thomas MP (Harrow West), Minister of State, Department for International Development. They have covered up both government and NUJ dereliction in a distinct conflict of interest; moreover, NUJ cabal has denied due process of law by fraudulently refusing NUJ services and holding a kangaroo court. Aware of the lack of NUJ support, mafiosi have openly declared that the author will be dead "before they have to address the issues" and that they will "piss on his grave".

Quid Pro Quo

Dear and Mincoff conspired with Thomas in a cover-up of crimes and *quid pro quo* that alone warrants a public inquiry. The issues relate to dereliction and conspiracy among: HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO); David C Broom, former HM Consul, Seattle; James A Doerty, a corrupt Seattle judge; Jack Straw MP; David Miliband MP; Gareth Thomas MP; and, Dear, Kirby, Mincoff also NUJ officers and officials. They have, jointly and severally, destroyed or concealed documents in a cover up of international crimes in which they all had complicity to deny due process of law.

Dear's unopposed reelection as general secretary for an additional five-year term (01 Apr 07) allowed him to act coercively and to continue his media blackout. He has since tried to balance his dereliction by extensively publicizing cases with almost identical criteria (Alan Johnston, Sally

Murrer, Shiv Malik, *et al*) and concurrently started a campaign of derogation and malicious defamation against the author for exposing him.

Dear's reelection followed a government "strategic grant agreement" for £262,000/3 years circa (01 Jan 05). Thomas negotiated that grant with Dear. They had both tacitly agreed to support the author in an investigation of HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) with regard to the unlawful jailing and torture in Seattle, Washington.

Thomas allegedly "paid off" Dear not to proceed against the Labour government for dereliction by FCO. Dear and Thomas have also for the past two years participated in an illegal cover up of machination related to the grant money. Dear has withheld financial records, documents, and disbursement information while FCO has admitted to destruction of comparable records and frustrated access to documents under both US and UK freedom of information statutes.

Thomas and Dear have colluded in a cover up of international crimes and denial of human rights from which NUJ benefitted financially and HM government concealed outrageous behavior by its consul. They formed a cozy relationship to stonewall any hearings about FCO dereliction: collusion between a government minister and a union general secretary seen as a distinct conflict of interest. Dear, Mincoff, and Thomas then welched on their support of the author, despite ongoing adversarial representation. They currently participate in an organized campaign of derogation and harassment by continuing the media blackout and an ongoing attempt to kill the messenger and destroy the medium.

The "strategic grant" sealed a conflict of interest between the Labour party and NUJ brought to public attention by John Pilger, *New Statesman* at the time of its consummation. Pilger described the transaction as a conflict of interest and wrote a letter to the editor of *Journalist* NUJ magazine criticizing dubious government/union partnership. [*John Pilger, The Statesman*]

Dear took the opportunity of a launch of the government grant (00 Jun 05) to stress that "the NUJ is not for sale" after Pilger (an NUJ member) used *Journalist* letters page and *New Statesman* magazine to accuse the union of accepting tainted money. According to *Journalist* magazine, August 2005, p.12, Pilger alleged that NUJ had taken money from British Embassy in Kiev to set up a union: The Independent Media Trade Union of Ukraine (IMTUU).

Eight NUJ members denied receiving any money; however, UK Embassy in Kiev admitted to funding a union training programme for IMTUU members which employed NUJ members. *Journalist* magazine did not state whether the members who "helped" in Ukraine: possessed adult education teaching credentials; spoke the language; or, held positions as NUJ staff members, officers, or officials.

An irony exists when NUJ spends time and money for its sycophants to junket in foreign countries when it cannot properly service its own members. In light of the alleged illegal activity

by both Thomas and Dear, Pilger appears to have made a valid point despite NUJ attempts to justify accepting government money by proxy. More important, the Ukraine relationship confirms a legal pattern or practice of conflict of interest among NUJ, government ministers, and diplomats to the detriment of some NUJ members.

Media Blackout

At the beginning of his term, Dear allowed a media blackout (despite 900 supportive articles published from Moscow to Madrid) and buried FCO dereliction by adopting a *laissez faire* attitude. He has since participated with Reginald [Tim] Gopsill in a seven-year cover-up of malfeasance and supported an embargo on any release of information about the author's case in a total media blackout.

That blackout has prevented members and the public knowing about the jailing for seven years. Gopsill, responsible for all NUJ web sites and editor of *Journalist* magazine continues his seven-year prior restraint and has insured that other news media have no knowledge of the true circumstances surrounding the case by censoring NUJ media releases.

In a consort with Thomas, Dear has covered up the facts with prior restraint, media blackouts, lies, withholding documents, and derogation. Obstruction of justice, a criminal offense under common law, applies to those who abuse executive powers and evade their responsibilities by impeding journalists who seek justice and due process of law. The term "obstruction" describes any act used to prevent the execution of lawful process by frustrating investigation.

Internet Terrorism

Dear and Mincoff allowed vandals to prevent publication (with contingent income and publishing losses) of articles describing vandalism by continuing the media blackout without any attempt to mitigate damage and by stonewalling requests to take action to prevent Internet denial-of-service attacks. They neglected to obtain a Crown Court order to prohibit violations of international Internet law in the same way that Dear and Kirby neglected to obtain orders under Vienna Convention seven years earlier.

During his seven year watch as general secretary Dear received approximately £400,000.00 in salary. His *laissez faire* policies continue to cause income and publication losses which accrue at £2,000.00/per diem and have now reached several £-million. Using computer software and Internet access points as cyber-weapons, University of Washington information technology experts (having complicity with Seattle mafia which includes former HM Consul Broom) continue to destroy communication networks. They have retaliated for publishing unpopular opinion about abuse of elderly people using the same *modus operandi* and criteria that they used to jail the author seven years ago. Instead of the prior restraint denied to them by the Washington supreme court decision they now use cyber-terrorism to prevent publication.

Throughout 2008, known saboteurs caused catastrophic damage to computer systems and databases. In addition to application sabotage, which necessitated purchase of replacement programs, they blacked out notebook screens by destroying inverters which involved buying and installing stand-alone devices and new laptop computers. It cost an additional £15,000.00 per computer to replace destroyed applications and databases.

NUJ paid Dear a salary and benefits of £73,990.00 for the fiscal year ended 30 September 08, funded from member dues. During 2008, his dereliction bankrupted the author by costing £500,000.00 actual damage, reduced web assets by several £-million, and caused inestimable collateral losses through blocked newsletter circulation and vandalized mailing applications.

Consistently informed with documented evidence, Dear and Mincoff neglected to act which resulted in loss of income and reputation as a journalist. That neglect caused £-millions of equipment, income, and publication losses which continue to accrue. Metropolitan Police Computer Crime Unit has commenced investigation of the crimes which NUJ officials condoned by denying member services.

Derogation

Dear, who has documented himself as a pathological liar, has shown absolutely no remorse. In keeping with sociopaths who have no moral values and surround themselves with disingenuous (paid) sycophants, NUJ buzzards have now flocked together to indulge in derogation by launching a smear campaign.

Sociopaths often encounter legal difficulties due to their disregard for societal standards and the rights of individuals which often includes criminal activity. Devoid of morals or conscience, they do not experience a full range of human emotions which explains their lack of empathy and the suffering that they cause. Generally, they remain indifferent to the possibility of physical pain or punishment and show no remorse or fear; this may explain their apparent disregard for the consequences of their actions.

Dear has used *ad hominem* and lies which shows his inability to tolerate truth and to express himself rationally. He addressed his personal and political considerations rather than facts or reason. The current cover-up and denial of responsibility has increased damage catastrophically. Meetings set up with Dear and Thomas ended in dumb insolence by each of them.

In an out-of-control oligarchy, especially one controlled by a sociopath, NUJ members can only work toward its own termination. Replacement requires a truly democratic structure with ethical elections and balloting instead of unopposed elections organized for political expedience by sycophants.

The present administration has more interest in a cover-up of seven years of Luddite incompetence and neglect than addressing ongoing issues. The ultimate responsibility for

everything that happens rests with Dear: his ignorance can no longer protect his ruthless attempt at totalitarian rule. [*Time Warp Stratagem*]

Investigation

Ongoing investigations by four Law Enforcement Agencies (LEA) (with two more pending) involve violations of Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992; Fraud Act 2006; and, several other statutes. Those investigations cover cyber-terrorism by Internet denial-of-service attacks and illegal computer vandalism by University of Washington (UW) which could involve extradition of the culprits; illegal prior restraint by Gopsill; obstruction of justice by Dear and NUJ lawyers; and, malfeasance by Thomas.

The law enforcement agencies include local, national, and international police empowered to enforce the laws and rules of government, trade unions, or political bodies. They have power to effect public and social order through the enforcement of laws resulting from abrogation of administrative rules at trade union level to international crimes like cyber-terrorism.

Investigation into FCO illegal activity has not proceeded which leaves the author in constant danger of incarceration and deportation on trumped-up charges every time he visits US. Doerty planted "terrorist" material on his US Customs and Border Protection records which raises questions each time he enter US. Seattle mafia knows that he has no protection from HM government or NUJ; consequently, they take advantage of the extant Seattle anarchy to do precisely what they want in order to harass him.

Conclusion

NUJ has indulged in a seven-year pattern or practice of obstructing access to information, documents, and financial records with intent to refuse union support and solidarity also to deny due process of law in a cover-up of fraudulent activity. Dear, Thomas, also NEC officers and LFB officials have disingenuously used dumb insolence and begged questions to avoid issues by using assumptions when they require manifest conclusions.

Successive NUJ lawyers, now under investigation by Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA), could receive punishment by large fines and disbarment. Dear and NEC officers fudged a grievance procedure meant to handle a complaint against Mincoff by turning it into a kangaroo court. That prevented a member from attending to argue his case. As a defendant, Mincoff acted as his own lawyer, judge, and jury and found himself not guilty. He then withheld the minutes until time expired for an appeal to National Executive Council. The author has already filed with the Certification Officer under TULR in an attempt to obtain NUJ documents. Mincoff claims Data Protection Act (DPA) precludes everything that he does not want to release and refuses to substantiate his contentions.

Dear and Thomas arbitrarily denied due process of law and member benefits guaranteed by National Union of Journalists Rules and Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992; Solicitors' Code of Conduct 2007; Solicitors Act 1974; Administration of Justice Act 1985; Courts and Legal Services Act 1990; Fraud Act 2006; Employment Equality (Age) Regulations 2006; Vienna Convention on Consular Relations; and, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

The author has remedies in law under: Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992; Solicitors' Code of Conduct 2007; Solicitors Act 1974; Administration of Justice Act 1985; Courts and Legal Services Act 1990; Fraud Act 2006; Employment Equality (Age) Regulations 2006; Vienna Convention on Consular Relations; and, Universal Declaration of Human Rights. He will continue to use them until he receives due process of law.

An article, published a year ago, provides more detail: [[Without Let or Hindrance](#)]

Paul Trummel PhD (RPI ABD), PhD (UW ABD), MS (RPI), MSc (UK), BSc (UK)
Associate Professor, Communication and Rhetoric (Retired)
Fellow, International Society of Typographic Designers (FISTD)
Fellow, Institute of Paper Printing and Publishing (FIOP)
Member, Society of Authors, London
International Federation of Journalists, Brussels (International Press Card)
National Union of Journalists, London (UK Press Card)

© Copyright 2009 by Paul Trummel
All Rights Reserved: 16 May 09/06:44
Edition: #880-51-00/09-0610-0959
Feedback: Webspinner@ContraCabal.org