

Response to a letter received from Chief Inspector Anthony O'Donnell, Strathclyde Police, Glasgow Central and West Division dated 14 July 10 received 27 July 10.

Chief Inspector Anthony O'Donnell, Strathclyde Police, Glasgow Central and West Division misinterpreted content to create a general denial in response to a criminal referral. Court rules do not allow arbitrary or general denial (denying all allegations in a complaint). O'Donnell did not genuinely interpret the complaint or associated statutes. He effectively conformed to NUJ political expedience by obstructing due process; thereby, delaying and denying justice.

[\[Strathclyde Police Referral\]](#)

O'Donnell did not process the referral or substantiate his claims; instead, he effectively denied an investigation and refutation. The referral now forms part of a complaint against O'Donnell to Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service for dereliction of his duty of care.

O'Donnell wrote a general denial in response to a request for police action. The referrer totally rejects his response as false, misleading, and a distortion of facts that reflect the true position. O'Donnell has effectively parroted language evidently presented to him by NUJ which follows a distinct pattern of planned deception and disingenuous behaviour over an eight-year period.

NUJ has evidently manipulated O'Donnell as part of that pattern to evade law. O'Donnell neither presented a legal argument to support his contentions nor cited a legal precedent that permits a general denial. He must petition a court to allow him to pursue that method.

The following statement contains O'Donnell's response to a reasoned, peer-reviewed referral. His categoric statements without substantiation insult the intelligence of the referrer who has fifty years experience reading law and investigating both academic corruption and abuse of elderly people. His comment about consulting a solicitor to advise on civil law ranks as deliberately offensive. [\[O'Donnell Letter\]](#)

AO-10-0714-0000. Professor Trummel having reviewed the documentation that you have provided, I can see no justifiable reasons as to why Strathclyde Police should involve itself in your ongoing dispute with the NUJ. The matters you raise are civil matters and are not criminal. There are many ways in which you can seek redress and most of these will be outlined with the conventions of the Union itself. Failing this I suggest you consult a solicitor who can advise you on civil law and seek your redress through the Civil Courts.

O'Donnell ludicrously used a ploy for which police forces throughout the UK have become notorious. He arbitrarily claimed that the issues classify as civil and not criminal. Even a first-year plod knows that fraud, harassment, stalking, computer crime, money laundering, and abuse of elderly people, among other crimes allegedly perpetrated by NUJ officials and officers, classify as criminal offences.

O'Donnell's comment about seeking redress using NUJ conventions when the complaint predicates on fraudulent use of those conventions clearly demonstrates another attempt to create a merry-go-round and evade his responsibility to address the issues. The many criminal

aspects of the complaints against NUJ could also classify as organised crime and, in that case, warrant investigation by Serious Organised Crime Agency (SOCA).

Court rules do not allow O'Donnell arbitrarily to use a general denial in response to criminal referrals. He has not genuinely interpreted the complaint or associated statutes; moreover, he has refused to process a criminal referral solely on the basis of NUJ political expedience allegedly propagated by rogue lawyer (Roy Martin Mincoff #112029) as NUJ in-house solicitor.

Mincoff, presently subject to investigation by Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) for gross professional misconduct and other alleged criminal activities that relate to the current referral, continues to support Jeremy Dear, NUJ General Secretary, Michelle Stanistreet, NUJ Deputy General Secretary, and Paul Holleran, NUJ Scottish Organiser in alleged criminal activity that forms a basis for both the Strathclyde (15 Jun 10) and Metropolitan (Camden) (25 Jun 10) police referrals.

NUJ officials filed new criminal allegations against the referrer (28 Jun 10), effectively a retaliation for filing complaints against them, according to Metropolitan Police (Camden). False and malicious, the allegations exposed the member to risk of arrest, imprisonment pending trial, and possible wrongful conviction and sentence. By that, NUJ attempted either to preempt or to evade police investigation of the criminal referrals already recorded against them, thereby perverting the course of justice.

Mincoff adopted similar illegal tactics to those a mafia used a decade ago to silence the author after he exposed crimes in Seattle. Seattle mafia filed false and malicious criminal charges against the member with police which resulted in him going to jail for 111 days. Nine judges in Washington Supreme Court unanimously absolved him of any wrongdoing when he wrote articles that exposed mafia crimes.

O'Donnell has started a similar merry-go-round relating to issues that occurred a decade ago. Dear has spent the last eight years covering up crimes by prior restraint and accepted a government pay-off to NUJ in 2005 to bury HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) involvement in those issues. [\[Without Let or Hindrance\]](#)

In a distinct conflict of interest, Dear and Mincoff among others negotiated a £262,000.00 *quid pro quo* with Gareth R Thomas MP (former Minister of State, Department for International Development) and Jack Straw MP (former Secretary of State for Justice and Lord Chancellor). By that, they denied an NUJ member due process of law.

Following the Strathclyde and Metropolitan police referrals, Christopher Graham Wheal, an NUJ officer, used an unprovoked and dastardly email attack to threaten grievous bodily harm (17 Jul 10) for publishing details of the Strathclyde and Metropolitan police referrals. It required use of a dictionary to define his gutter language which construed as a death threat when applied to a man seventy-seven years of age. Metropolitan Police (Camden) has received a complaint claiming that Wheal committed a criminal offence by threatening grievous bodily harm in violation of Protection from Harassment Act 1997, Section 4.

Wheal financially benefitted from the government grant. Dear has since unlawfully withheld member accounting documents so that the extent of financial benefit to Wheal and other NUJ-Left sycophants remains unknown.

O'Donnell did not fairly address the substance of the facts. If he intended to deny any part of the referral or needed qualification of facts, then he should have asked for substantiation after identifying the parts that he considered true and material. Instead, he arbitrarily denied everything.

He used his own interpretation and construction which ignored content that clearly met all legal requirements. He used general denial to cover up dereliction, bias, and misconduct and ignored the readiness of the referrer to verify allegations under an oath.

Police officers must maintain a high-level duty of care which means that they must not commit any act that could harm victims of crimes. If they do not satisfactorily meet that standard, then they have a legal obligation to consider those adversely affected by acts or omissions and to make reparation.

The term "duty of care" has special legal significance when applied to police officers. It describes an obligation imposed by law which applies particularly to them. That obligation forms part of a fiduciary duty owed to the public that they serve. The standard that they maintain must reach at least the level of responsibility that a reasonable person upholds.

Police officers must also exercise reasonable care to protect the public interest from harm by others. They must not violate victims' rights through willful blindness or negligence until Scotland becomes a police state.

O'Donnell's primary claim to fame lies in a kick in the crotch a German football lout gave to him.

...Hamburg hooligan ringleader Rene Wurzbach...32-year-old graduate assaulted and injured three cops as his 300-strong mob rampaged through Glasgow city centre....Wurzbach paid his entire £1,600 fine on the spot by credit card at Glasgow Sheriff Court....

[Norman Silvester](#), *Sunday Mail* (01 Nov 09)

Wheal sounds like another Wurzbach. Perhaps Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service will give O'Donnell another kick where it hurts for dereliction of his duty of care by not properly addressing the referral.

O'Donnell arbitrarily denied all allegations in the referral by using a pattern or practice in contravention of court rules which only permit general denial when the response to a referral intends in good faith to controvert all assertions of fact. Rarely do general denials pass that test neither do reputable judges allow them.

O'Donnell did not interview the referrer or send interrogatories to ascertain the true situation and has effectively railroaded him by denying an investigation of criminal conduct. Railroading forces rapid investigation or litigation to prevent careful consideration and criticism. It usually employs dragooning, subjugation, persecution, coercion, or threats and certainly does not constitute ethical police behaviour.

O'Donnell used ambiguous language to evade resolving a specific issue which arguably defines as obstruction of justice. The response indicates that he had no intent to process the complaint in the first place. General denial has no place in the adjudication process. O'Donnell should have substantiated his reasons for refusal or delay by citing precedents and provide the name of a person, email address, and statute under which the referrer could appeal his decision.

O'Donnell's delaying tactics can only interpret as political expedience given the involvement of three former Labour ministers, arguably accessories after the fact to international crimes, who form an integral part of the issues upon which the referral premised.

Moreover, the delay in providing a reasoned response has allowed NUJ to file false and misleading criminal complaints against the referrer in another jurisdiction. That complaint retaliates for filing legitimate Strathclyde and Metropolitan police referrals. Instead of taking action to mitigate damage, O'Donnell has obstructed and delayed justice then denied it contrary to law.

O'Donnell has not provided a copy of the NUJ response to the referral for comment and refutation or provided a legal argument citing precedents with particularity that permit the withholding of a response. He has not challenged the offenders about withholding critical information or cited a precedent that permitted them to do so. Moreover, he arbitrarily adopted a narrow construction of law when responses generally require liberal construction, at least until a judge finds otherwise.

Raymond Boyle wrote:

The last number of years has seen a growing importance placed on media relations by police forces throughout the UK. This has resulted in an increased concern with public relations practice and in particular that area focused on media relations.

The study centered on Strathclyde Police, the largest force in Scotland and among the largest in the UK. Outside of the Metropolitan Police, Strathclyde, has been throughout the 1990s at the forefront in developing more pro-active media relations strategies.

The paper examines the development of the Spotlight Initiative which attempted to tackle low level, quality of life crime (in some quarters associated with the phrase "zero tolerance"). Central to Spotlight has been the development of a more systematic and structured approach to public relations focused on the role of the media, specifically local and Scottish national newspapers, in communicating with local communities.

[Spotlight Strathclyde: Police and Media Strategies \(1999\)](#)

The research argued that the growing importance of media relations by Strathclyde police force has broader implications for police-media relations throughout the UK. O'Donnell needs to take note of Boyle's findings before he answers Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service about the charges referred and his dereliction in addressing them. [\[A Patent Stitch-up\]](#)

© Copyright 2010 by Paul Trummel
All Rights Reserved: 03 Aug 10/10:45
Edition: #880-36-31/10-0808-0956
Feedback: Webspinner@ContraCabal.org