

***Mincoff and Dear refused to hear a Challenge to Evidence that they Manufactured.***

***Distribution Proviso***

The author and publisher has restricted access to this series of articles to National Union of Journalists (NUJ) and International Federation of Journalists (IFJ) members (also named parties) pending investigation of alleged criminal activity and legal action under: Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992; Solicitors' Code of Conduct 2007; Solicitors Act 1974; Administration of Justice Act 1985; Courts and Legal Services Act 1990; Fraud Act 2006; Employment Equality (Age) Regulations 2006; Vienna Convention on Consular Relations; and, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This proviso in no way restricts NUJ and IFJ members from releasing excerpts (with accreditation) to interested third parties including news media. Separate exposés cover unethical and illegal activities by London Freelance Branch officials in a consort with National Executive Council officers.

Independent investigation by law enforcement agencies involves an alleged pattern or practice of joint and several illegal or criminal activities by: National Union of Journalists (NUJ) executives, lawyers, and staff; National Executive Council (NEC) officers; and, London Freelance Branch (LFB) officials.

The author has little personal knowledge of other branches and chapels which do not form part of intended civil and criminal complaints; therefore, he has no opinion on their activities. Adverse comments, all verified and validated to insure accurate reporting, meet legal requirements.

Minutes of the NUJ Finance Committee meeting 18 June 2008, which Bernard Roche, Finance Manager and Audrey Witter, Minutes Secretary withheld for 7-1/2 months, did not state the NUJ or other rule under which the meeting was convened. A search of NUJ Rules 2008 found no reference to Finance Committee meetings although NEC members address issues other than finance at those meetings. At the meeting in question, they convened a kangaroo court. One NEC officer recused himself while six others took part in the illegal proceedings.

The term "kangaroo court" refers to a sham proceeding in contravention of NUJ Rules and Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 (the Act). The term describes proceedings that deny due process for political expediency which includes rights: to control one's own defense or to present one's own motion; to exclude improperly obtained, irrelevant, or inherently inadmissible evidence; to disqualify officials on the grounds of partiality or conflict of interest; and, an appeal.

Jeremy Dear, NUJ General Secretary and Roy M Mincoff, Legal Officer determined in advance the outcome of a Finance Committee meeting using a manipulated procedure and did not allow refutation of manufactured evidence. By that, they denied member rights which allegedly constitutes fraud prohibited by Fraud Act 2006.

As "Freelance Servicing Officer" [*sic*], John Toner, UK National Freelance Organiser acts in behalf of NUJ General Secretary. He holds responsibility for all union activities, organisation, and

financial affairs related to freelance members. Under NUJ Rules, Toner also ranks as the first point of contact with NUJ officials and NEC officers for freelance members.

Email messages sent to Toner with a copy to Audrey Witter, NUJ Minutes Secretary, requested information and documents:

Please advise under which rules in NUJ Rules 2008 the proposed Finance Committee meeting scheduled for 25 June 09 will be convened. If NUJ Rules 2008 do not apply, then please send a PDF copy of the rules that do apply.

Also, request Audrey Witter to send me a copy of, or a reference to, the rules that apply to the preparation of minutes that she uses. Please ask her which NUJ rule permits her to use them and why she does not include details of the convening authority in the minutes that she records.

Moreover, the copy of the minutes of the 18 June 08 meeting that I received from Jeremy Dear 03 Feb 09 were neither signed nor dated. Please send a signed and dated copy of those minutes.

I understand that you act on behalf of NUJ General Secretary and have responsibility for all union freelance activities, organisation and financial affairs. If I am mistaken, then provide a copy of your job description and curriculum vitae.

Toner responded:

I am not your message boy. If you wish to contact Audrey Witter, contact her direct. That applies to any other official of the NUJ.

Neither Toner nor Witter has sent the information or the documents requested. Toner knew from previous correspondence copied to him that Roche and Witter have consistently ignored requests for information in a deliberate merry-go-round. That silent withholding covers up for Dear and Mincoff who have not explained fraudulent activity by falsifying a Financial Committee meeting agenda and Witter's subsequent forgery of minutes to conceal an illegal kangaroo court.

The request to Toner gave him a chance to comply with the Act before sending details of alleged violations of it to law enforcement agencies. Both his insolence and the kangaroo court demonstrate how NUJ administration deliberately operates out of control: a technique repeatedly used to confuse issues. That confusion allows NUJ sycophants and their mentors to keep their snouts in the trough; moreover, paid employees have absolutely no respect for the membership which pays their salaries.

To add to the confusion, NUJ uses the titles "officer" and "official" (both having legal significance) interchangeably.<sup>1</sup> The General Secretary and other full-time paid employees, also the President, classify as officials not officers; consequently, the term "servicing officer" defines as a misnomer.<sup>2</sup>

Toner will now join other officials and NEC officers as an alleged accessory after the fact to crimes committed under Fraud Act 2006. Then he can tell a xenophobic judge: "I am not your message boy".

*Nmesis.*

1. Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992. Section 119. The term "officer" includes: (a) any member of the governing body of the union, and (b) any trustee of any fund applicable for the purposes of the union. The term "official" means: (a) an officer of the union or of a branch or section of the union, or (b) a person elected or appointed in accordance with the rules of the union to be a representative of its members or of some of them.

2. TUC Rules and Standing Orders (updated following changes made by the General Council in April 2007). Rule 18.

© Copyright 2009 by Paul Trummel

All Rights Reserved: 07 Jun 09/07:18

Edition: #880-31-05/09-0608-1619

Feedback: [Webspinner@ContraCabal.org](mailto:Webspinner@ContraCabal.org)