

**Facts that Jeremy Dear and NUJ Lawyers do not want NUJ Members to know.**

***Distribution Proviso***

The author and publisher has restricted access to this series of articles to National Union of Journalists (NUJ) and International Federation of Journalists (IFJ) members (also named parties) pending investigation of alleged criminal activity and legal action under: Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992; Solicitors' Code of Conduct 2007; Solicitors Act 1974; Administration of Justice Act 1985; Courts and Legal Services Act 1990; Fraud Act 2006; Employment Equality (Age) Regulations 2006; Vienna Convention on Consular Relations; and, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This proviso in no way restricts NUJ and IFJ members from releasing excerpts (with accreditation) to interested third parties including news media. Separate exposés cover unethical and illegal activities by London Freelance Branch officials in a consort with National Executive Council officers.

Independent investigation by law enforcement agencies involves an alleged pattern or practice of joint and several illegal or criminal activities by: National Union of Journalists (NUJ) executives, lawyers, and staff; National Executive Council (NEC) officers; and, London Freelance Branch (LFB) officials.

The author has little personal knowledge of other branches and chapels which do not form part of intended civil and criminal complaints; therefore, he has no opinion on their activities. Adverse comments, all verified and validated to insure accurate reporting, meet legal requirements.

Political accountability and statutes apply to both trade union officials (full-time paid employees) and members of parliament. In both cases, recall elections can be used to revoke the office of elected officials for misconduct; however, constituents (members) do not generally have any direct way of holding their elected representatives to account during an elected term. Arguably, this does not legally apply to officers elected unopposed as the result of machination.

Trade union members can call for independent, public, or court inquiry when allegations of misconduct or corruption arise. Parliament has power to impeach its members or suspend them from office for a period of time. The government relies on the support of parliament which gives it the power to hold its members accountable.

Jeremy Dear, General Secretary, National Union of Journalists (NUJ) and successive NUJ lawyers, in a consort with Gareth R Thomas MP (Harrow West), Minister of State, Department for International Development neglected to act in accordance with Vienna Convention and NUJ Rules by not providing an NUJ member and West Harrow constituent mandatory support. They have since refused to account for their dereliction either by dumb insolence or silent withholding of information to obstruct justice.

Although journalists report crimes they do not prosecute them. Restriction of their activity, and lack of union support for which they have paid dues, makes NUJ officials morally and legally

responsible for negative outcomes. Dear condoned or ordered an illegal prior restraint upon NUJ news articles. By that, he prevented exposure of crimes that could have precluded or preempted abuse and death of elderly people and imprisonment and torture of an NUJ member. Couched in Machiavellian terms:

. . . how laudable it is for a Prince to keep his word and live with integrity and not cunning. Nonetheless experiences show that nowadays those princes who accomplish great things have had little respect for keeping their word and have known how to confuse men's minds with cunning. In the end they have overcome those who preferred honesty.<sup>1</sup>

Club JournoScam, a new web site, addresses the alleged conflicts of interest among NUJ officials and a Member of Parliament which have prejudiced an NUJ member and constituent. Attempts by NUJ officers to cover up UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office misconduct, through action or inaction, constitute obstruction of justice.

#### *Facts*

Judge James A Doerty, Washington Superior Court censored constitutionally protected writing published in print and on a web site. He then jailed a British subject (an NUJ journalist) without due process of law and benefit of legal counsel in violation of Vienna Convention. By that, he permitted torture and established a legal precedent. The finding granted carte blanche for arbitrary prior restraint which negatively affected all journalists; however, Doerty overlooked the fact that ethical journalists meet their responsibility to write about what they know then stand up for their rights to publish it.

Doerty claimed (in a decision later reversed by WA Supreme Court) that interviews by journalists with willing sources defined as harassment and news gathering constituted illegal surveillance and stalking. He then conspired with David C Broom, then British Consul, to ratchet up coercion for removal of EU hosted web sites by transferring the journalist to incommunicado solitary confinement among murderers and rapists. The journalist languished in jail for 111 days of an indeterminate sentence before a public defender had him released on a writ similar to *habeas corpus*.<sup>2</sup>

Jonathan Tasini, President, National Writers Union (NWU-US); Aidan White, General Secretary, International Federation of Journalists (IFJ-EU); and, about nine hundred journals in Europe; published details of the illegal imprisonment. In contrast, Reginald (Tim) Gopsill, Editor, *Journalist* (NUJ magazine) ordered an absolute media blackout.

The blackout has lasted for seven years and prevented NUJ members from offering solidarity to a union member of sixty years standing. By that, Dear, Gopsill, Thomas, and NUJ lawyers neglected to provide member or constituent support guaranteed by parliament and Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 (the Act).

[*FreePaulTrummel - Jonathan Tasini*] [*Statement - Aidan White*] [*NUJ Media Blackout*]

Ongoing investigation by four Law Enforcement Agencies (LEA) (with two more pending) involve violations of the Act and criminal complaints. The investigations cover cyber-terrorism by Internet denial-of-service attacks and illegal computer vandalism which could involve extradition of the culprits; illegal prior restraint by Gopsill; and obstruction of justice by Dear and NUJ NEC officers.

Law enforcement agencies include local, national, and international police empowered to enforce laws and rules of government, trade unions, or political bodies. They have power to effect public and social order through the enforcement of laws resulting from abrogation of administrative rules at trade union level and international crimes like cyber-terrorism.

[*Washington State Cyber-Terrorists*]

Dear and Roy M Mincoff, NUJ Legal Officer have either used willful blindness and deceit to evade their responsibilities or committed crimes themselves. Supported by an exclusive group of NUJ officers and officials (the Cabal) they usurped power for political expedience in violation of the Act. Dear has used the politics of deceit and a seven year media blackout to cover up willful negligence then accepted a government grant as *quid pro quo* - a distinct conflict of interest.

[*Questionable Quid Pro Quo*]

### *Transparency and Accountability*

Lies supported by shrewd and skilled deception portend the end of freedom of expression. Silent withholding of public information and minutes of meetings contradict the open ethics principles that govern acceptable conduct within trade unions. Transparency implies openness, communication, and accountability using procedures that include open meetings, financial disclosure, and access to records and minutes.

Trade union transparency requires removal of all barriers to, and facilitation of, free and easy member access to laws, rules, and processes that facilitate and protect members from disingenuous officers and clandestine government associates. Openness creates an everyday participation in political processes by media and the public and allows a modern democracy to build upon involvement by all concerned.

Accountability, an ethical concept, synonymously defines with responsibility, answerability, enforcement, blameworthiness, liability and associated terms. An aspect of governance, it remains central to discussions related to problems in both trade union and public environments. In leadership roles, it forms the basis of acknowledgment and assumption of responsibility for administrative and governance decisions and policies by encompassing an obligation to report, explain and remain answerable for consequences.

## *Political Correctness*

Ethical union members should not conceal abuse of office to give the appearance of justice. They should voluntarily reveal what they know to insure due process of law and freedom of expression through solidarity - union of fundamental interests, purposes, and sympathies based in law.

In law, the term "concealment" implies an intention to withhold or secrete information so that a member entitled to receive it will remain in ignorance. The term "obstruction of justice" (which defines a criminal offense under common law) applies to those who abuse executive powers and evade mandated responsibilities in order to impede trade union members who seek justice and due process of law predicated upon their trade union membership.

Although oligarchy and anarchy do not normally share the same political space, Jeremy Dear has managed to cultivate coexistence (a fertile ground for despotism) which portends another trade union disaster reminiscent of the 1980s. NUJ now consists of a strange political mix which has resulted in a system of leadership based upon fear, discrimination, and harassment which results from coercion, intimidation and propaganda. NUJ officers and officials deny civil and human rights to members despite potential litigation under international law.

Unfortunately, if union members maintain a conspiracy of silence about illegal acts, then eventually the reputation of the whole trade union movement suffers. The public identifies the innocent with the guilty when details of corruption eventually surface. Individual members cannot improve public perception of trade unions while officials abuse the overriding rights and welfare of members.

If journalists acquiesce to decisions by others on what they may write and what they may not write, then they concede to censorship which starts a long trail of prohibitions for political or other self-aggrandizing purposes. Self-censorship often provides solace to unethical journalists who attack a particular genre or a writer to establish political agendas when they cannot fault or challenge the accuracy of content.

Any type of censorship restricts language to narrowly defined criteria. Then, politically correct ideologies force everyone to learn the same way, to teach the same way, to research the same way, to discourse the same way, and to write the same way. Subsequent conformity destroys any vestige of individuality. The exclusivity forces everyone to become a clone of someone else.<sup>3</sup>

The job of media remains one of encouraging debate and not merely supplying the public with information. Past failures have contributed to the present talk-show mentality with its ranting and posturing. This has created a world that allows a worldwide totalitarian presence to cover-up wrongdoing through censorship, prior restraint, and silent withholding of public records which defines as "political silence". [*The Fifth Estate*]

## *Conclusion*

Unfortunately, the public identifies the innocent with the guilty when details of corruption eventually surface: currently demonstrated by exposure of the expenses scandal in parliament. I believe that ethical journalists should not conceal abuse of office and *quid pro quo* to give the appearance of justice. Instead, they should reveal what they know to insure due process of law and freedom of expression through solidarity: the union of interests, purposes, and sympathies.

Dear ignored the basic precepts of trade unionism afforded to members arguably for ulterior or biased motives and defrauded a member by withdrawing support at a critical time which resulted in an indefinite jail sentence. He then tried to cover up his dereliction by record withholding, clandestine hearings, and personal abuse in a consort with lawyers.

Practices exist today in National Union of Journalists (NUJ) which have moved away from the basic principles of trade union democracy: due process and freedom of expression through solidarity. NUJ now operates on an illegal notion that allows elected and appointed officers to usurp power by subverting elections and mandated procedures to benefit themselves financially. The machination includes unopposed election, sycophancy, rogue lawyers, propaganda, media censorship, and coercion.

Writing my own story has created a personal conflict which I must accept to protect myself and to obtain due process of law. After sixty years as a member of various journalism, academic, and media trade unions and professional organizations as an official and journal editor, I now find myself defrauded by union officers, a member of parliament, and diplomats: a situation that I will not tolerate and will pursue to the full extent of the law. This will include filing criminal charges of fraud and discrimination against NUJ officers and officials, jointly and severally, in addition to civil complaints already filed.

Politically correct thinking and writing destroys diversity. It enables those in power to deny freedom of expression to dissenters and to destroy media which paradoxically describes the practices now in place within National Union of Journalists which its General Secretary condones. Covert manipulation of union media makes him personally and legally liable for the outcome of prior restraint and impunity that it implicitly grants. No executive immunity exists for malicious criminal intent under the Act and willful blindness precedents make officers criminally liable if they do not investigate, mitigate, and arrange for prosecution of crimes.

Malfeasance and machination by Dear, NUJ lawyers, elected officers, and Thomas during his seven years as NUJ General Secretary has denied support to a member seeking to overcome illegal censorship and outrageous imprisonment. By that, they jointly and severally condoned the jailing and torture, also a cover-up of crimes involving prior restraint and Internet denial-of-service attacks, as accessories after the fact.

For NUJ general secretary to abuse and humiliate his constituents upon whom he relies for his livelihood, manifests stupidity. To extend that behavior into a coordinated campaign based upon an apparent antisocial personality disorder ranks much more seriously. Pathological lying has made him a prime target for unscrupulous lawyers (whom he scrupulously parrots) and manipulative sycophants. [*Politics of Deceit*]

*Nmesis.*

1. Machiavelli, Niccolò, *The Art of War*, trans. P. Bondanella and M. Musa, (Harmondsworth; Penguin 1995).
2. habeas corpus. The civil right to obtain a writ as protection against illegal imprisonment.
3. Galbraith, John Kenneth, *A View from the Stands* (Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1986), p. 127.

© Copyright 2009 by Paul Trummel  
All Rights Reserved: 30 Apr 09/15:43  
Edition: #880-10-00/09-0618-1628  
Feedback: [Webspinner@ContraCabal.eu](mailto:Webspinner@ContraCabal.eu)